## High Intensity Interval Training vs. Continuous Aerobic Training on Resting Blood Pressure in Young Sedentary Pre-Hypertensive Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Imtiyaz Ali Mir, Chao YI Chong, Mohammed Abdulrazzaq Jabbar

Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Bandar Baru Sungai Long, 43000Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia

Corresponding author email: imtiyaz@utar.edu.my

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RECENT TRENDS IN HUMANITIES AND SCIENCE 2018, 'ICRTHS-2018'. UNIVERSITI TUNKU ABDUL RAHMAN, BANDAR BARAT, 31900 KAMPAR, PERAK, MALAYSIA. 26TH OCTOBER 2018.

American J of Bio-pharm Biochem and Life Sci 2014 December, Vol. 6: OP02

## **ABSTRACT**

The prevalence of pre-hypertension is increasing in the younger generation due to factors such as physical inactivity, imbalanced diet and increased stress. The likelihood of a pre-hypertensive young adult developing hypertension has been steadily increasing over the past years. In addition to other strategies, aerobic exercise has been promoted widely amongst this population to reduce the blood pressure. However, the question remains which type of aerobic exercise can be more effective. Methods: 30 healthy sedentary young adults (age 19.97±1.10) were randomly divided into 3 equal groups; high-intensity interval training (HIIT), continuous training (CT) and control (CON). HIIT and CT groups underwent 4 weeks of aerobic training on treadmills with CON group not participating in any exercise. The HIIT protocol consists of 1:1 work to rest ratio of participants 80%-90% heart rate reserve (HRR) and 40%-60% HRR respectively for 20 minutes, CT group exercised at 40%-60% of HRR continuously for 20 minutes. Results: In HIIT and CT groups respectively SPB (pre 122.3±3.29mmHg, post 119±3.91mmHg, difference 3.30±2.16mmHg, p=0.001; pre 125.13±3.92mmHg, post 123.67±3.97mmHg, difference 4.7±1.61, p =0.018) was significantly reduced, DBP was significantly decreased only in HIIT group (pre 78.57±5.36mmHg, post 75.73±4.9mmHg, difference 2.83±2.25mmHg, p=0.003). No significant difference in SBP (pre 127.26±4.42mmHg, post 127.05±5.14mmHg, difference 0.21 3.79mmHg, p-value=0.836) DBP (pre 73.91±5.28, post 73.10±5.38mmHg, difference 0.81±4.33mmHg, pvalue=0.395) was observed in CON group. Conclusion: Both HIIT and CT helped to reduce the resting blood pressure but HIIT seems to yield more benefits. Based on the ease and preference, an individual can choose either one of them.